For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	Teleshuttle Technologies, L.L.C.,	NO. C 04-02927 JW
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER DENYING PROPOSAL FOR BRIEFING THE EFFECTIVE FILING
14	Microsoft Corporation,	DATE ISSUE AS PART OF THE MARKMAN PROCESS
15	Defendant.	/
16	_	
17	On November 23, 2005, Defendant Microsoft Corporation filed a Proposal for Briefing the	
18	Effective Filing Date Issue as Part of the Markman Process (Docket Item No. 154.) Upon review of the	
19	parties' papers, the Court does not find that further briefing on this issue is appropriate at this time. During	
20	the claim construction process, the Court is mindful of the parties' dispute regarding the effective filing dates	
21	of the patents-in-suit, and the Court's obligation to construe the claims as of their effective filing date.	
22	Should the Court later find that such briefing is necessary, the Court will direct the parties to so file.	
23		
24	Dated: January 4, 2006 Odeciv2927addbrief	/s/ James Ware JAMES WARE United States District Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		

28

1 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 2 Christopher P. Isaac chris.isaac@finnegan.com Elaine Y. Chow <u>echow@prestongates.com</u> Jeffrey A. Berkowitz jeffrey.berkowitz@finnegan.com 3 Lionel M. Lavenue <u>lionel.lavenue@finnegan.com</u> Michael J. Bettinger <u>mikeb@prestongates.com</u> Myra Pasek myrap@prestongates.com 5 Patrick J. Coyne patrick.coyne@finnegan.com Robert F. McCauley <u>robert.mccauley@finnegan.com</u> Samir N. Pandya samir.pandya@klarquist.com 6 Timothy P. Walker timothyw@prestongates.com 7 T. Andrew Culbert 8 Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way 9 Building 8 Redmond, WA 98052 10 11 Dated: January 4, 2006 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 12 By:_/s/ JW Chambers____ Melissa Peralta 13 **Courtroom Deputy** 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27